

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/842,988	04/26/2001	Jemm Y. Liang	M-10710-1P US	7880	
36257 7:	590 09/23/2004		EXAMINER		
PARSONS HSUE & DE RUNTZ LLP			ANYASO, UCHENDU O		
655 MONTGO SUITE 1800	MERY STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
-	SCO, CA 94111	·	2675		
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/842,988	09/842,988 LIANG ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Uchendu O Anyaso	2675	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		in the final mination wh	inhawa in Inta - I-
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire In ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounth the shortened statutory period for reply contact that the mail	g date of the final rejecting the FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropriation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP copriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 1-11,13,25-29,31-39 and 41-46.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-22,47 and 48</u> .			,
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:		An About Auson	
		MR A. AWAD MARY EXAMINER	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In Applicant's response filed June 24, 2004, Applicant argues the Koshobu reference alleging that "by causing V1-V8 to be generated using Ve as a reference, V1-V8 will not flunctuate relative to the output voltages Vwp, Vhp, Ve, Vhn and Vwn of circuit 40 despite flunctuations in VEE1, VSS1, VEE2 and VSS2." However, a reading of Koshobu in Figures 2A-2D teach how the scanning signals Y1-Y3 change with data signal Xi. Also, Koshobu teaches a liquid crystal panel with at least two separate power sources (30, 40) (see column 4, lines 20-30, figure 1 at 30, 40, 70, 80) wherein power supply 40 drives the row electrodes through a first voltage range of (Vwp, Vhp, Ve, Vhn, Vwn), and power supply 50 drives the column electrode through a second voltage range (V1-V8), wherein the first voltage range (Vwp-Vwn) changes so that it has two distinct and different ranges of values (Vwp, Vhp, Ve, Vhn, Vwn centered around Ve), and the second voltage range (V1-V8) changes with the first voltage range (Vwp, Vhp, Ve, Vhn, Vwn) when the first voltage range changes (see figure 2A-2D), and with at least the voltage (Ve) generated or caused to be generated by power source 40. As such, Koshobu, at the very least, reads directly on claim 14. Hence, this application in its current form is not in a condition for allowance.